

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ON MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM AUTOMATIC STAY NOT INTENDED FOR PUBLICATION

These findings of fact and conclusions of law, which are not intended for publication, are rendered in this contested matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 52 as incorporated by Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure 7052 and 9014.

<u>Jurisdiction</u>

. Jurisdiction is founded upon 28 U.S.C. § 1334. This is a core proceeding. 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(G).

Findings of Fact

Debtor filed her voluntary chapter 7 petition on August 24, 2006. She scheduled real property commonly known as 10609

West Saratoga Place, Littleton, CO 80127 ("property") as property of the estate. The first meeting of creditors was held on September 22, 2006. The chapter 7 trustee filed a report finding that there is no property available for distribution from the estate over and above that exempted by the debtor. Debtor was discharged from all dischargeable debts on November 29, 2006.

On November 21, 2006, Washington Mutual Bank ("movant") filed a motion, notice, and declaration requesting that this court vacate the automatic stay to permit movant to foreclose upon the property. The fair market value of the property is approximately \$240,000.00. Movant has a lien on the property in the approximate amount of \$227,494.86. There are other liens against the property in the approximate amount of \$31,000.00.

Upon review of the record, the court determined that the written record was adequate and that no oral argument is necessary.

Conclusions of Law

expires when the debtor is granted a discharge. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c)(2)(C). Acts against property of the estate remain stayed until the earliest of the time when the bankruptcy case is closed, dismissed, or the property ceases to be property of the estate. 11 U.S.C. § 362(c). The automatic stay may be terminated earlier if debtor fails to protect the secured party's interest adequately, § 362(d)(1), and, with respect to

Case 06-23266 Filed 12/18/06 Doc 54

a stay of an act against property, debtor does not have equity in the property, § 362(d)(2)(A), and the property is not necessary to an effective reorganization. 11 U.S.C. § 362(d)(2)(B). The issue of whether the property is necessary to an effective reorganization is not considered in a chapter 7 case because no reorganization is contemplated in a chapter 7 case.

Although the debtor does not appear to have any equity in the property, since the debtor was granted a discharge, the automatic stay has expired as to the debtor. Thus, the motion insofar as it is directed at the interest of the debtor is moot and will be denied.

However, the motion will be granted as to the interest of the trustee.

An appropriate order will issue.

Dated: December 14, 2006

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

On the date indicated below, I served a true and correct copy(ies) of the attached document by placing said copy(ies) in a postage paid envelope addressed to the person(s) hereinafter listed and by depositing said envelope in the United States mail or by placing said copy(ies) into an interoffice delivery receptacle located in the Clerk's Office.

Leslie E. Turner 3749 Sudbury Road Cameron Park, CA 95682

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Brian L. Coggins 9001 Foothills Blvd., #110 Roseville, CA 95747

William G. Malcolm Kevin Hahn Malcom Cisneros, A Law Corporation 2112 Business Center Drive, 2nd Floor Irvine, CA 92612

John R. Roberts P.O. Box 1506 Placerville, CA 95667

Office of the United States Trustee United States Courthouse 501 "I" Street, Suite 7-500 Sacramento, CA 95814

Dated: 17/19/06

marilyn Ru